

California FAIR Plan: Implications for Insurers From Los Angeles Wildfires

January 14, 2025

AUTHORS

Kara Baysinger | Stephanie Duchene | Laura Leigh Geist | David G. Nadig
Brendan M. Smith

Ignition of the Pacific Palisades fire on January 7 and subsequent wildfire outbreaks in the Los Angeles region over the past week have drawn increased attention to the role of the California Fair Access to Insurance Requirements Plan (the “[FAIR Plan](#)”) in the state’s property and casualty market. As a state insurer of last resort, the FAIR Plan has taken an outsized role in insuring residential and commercial properties that have been increasingly unable to obtain coverage on the admitted market. In neighborhoods like Pacific Palisades that have faced high homeowners insurance nonrenewal rates in recent years, property owners have increasingly turned to the FAIR Plan (as well as the surplus lines market) to obtain necessary coverage. As losses mount from this outbreak, policyholders, insurance carriers, and media have asked what the implications may be for the FAIR Plan and the California-admitted insurers that comprise its risk pool. This client alert discusses the background of the FAIR Plan, the process of assessing member insurers, and relevant recent developments in the California property insurance market.

I. FAIR Plan

A. Background

The California FAIR Plan is established pursuant to Section 10090 *et seq.* of the California Insurance Code (the “Code”), which mandates establishment of the California FAIR Plan Association as a joint reinsurance association formed by insurance carriers licensed to write and engaged in writing basic property insurance in California. Under Section 10095 of the Code, the FAIR Plan is administered in accordance with a plan of operation approved by the California Insurance Commissioner (the “Commissioner”), most recently amended in August 2024. Member insurers are those “licensed to write” and “engaged in writing” (on a direct basis) Basic Property Insurance.¹ Pursuant to Section 10095 and the plan of operation, member insurers “shall participate in the writing, expenses, [p]rofits, and losses of the FAIR Plan in the same proportion that [their] premiums written during the second preceding calendar year bear to the aggregate premiums written by all [i]nsurers in the program, excluding that portion of the premiums written attributable to the operation of the FAIR Plan.”² Notwithstanding that, member insurers are only subject to assessment by the FAIR Plan upon approval of the Commissioner.³

Many admitted carriers have recently limited new business or sought to non-renew existing property business in California and some attribute that, at least in part, to difficulties in obtaining rate increases reflecting current risks. As the admitted market has shrunk, FAIR Plan exposure has grown significantly. As of September 2024, FAIR Plan exposure totaled \$458 billion, including \$5.9 billion in wildfire exposure in Pacific Palisades alone.⁴ Funds for potential payment of claims are obtained through FAIR Plan policyholder premiums, as well as substantial reinsurance coverage purchased by the FAIR Plan under its statutory authorization. According to one report, the FAIR Plan has access to \$2.63 billion of reinsurance through a partially placed tower with a limit of \$4.85 billion and an occurrence retention of \$900 million.⁵

B. Assessment of Member Insurers

If additional funds are required for the FAIR Plan to pay claims, the FAIR Plan may, with the Commissioner’s authorization, levy assessments against member insurers to fund claims and expenses. If levied as a result of the

¹ California FAIR Plan Association *Plan of Operation* dated August 27, 2024 § III (the “Plan of Operation”). BasicProperty Insurance is defined as:

insurance against direct loss to real or tangible personal property at a fixed location in those geographic or urban areas, as designated by the commissioner, from perils insured under the standard fire policy and extended coverage endorsement, from vandalism and malicious mischief, and includes other insurance coverages as may be added with respect to that property . . . with the approval of the commissioner or by the commissioner, but shall not include insurance on automobile risks, commercial agricultural commodities or livestock, or equipment used to cultivate or transport agricultural commodities or livestock. Cal. Ins. Code § 10091(c)(1).

² Plan of Operation § XXI.

³ Cal. Ins. Code § 10094(c); Plan of Operation § XXI.

⁴ California FAIR Plan Association *Key Statistics & Data* (no date), <https://www.cfpnet.com/key-statistics-data/>.

⁵ Chris Munro, THE INSURER, *CA FAIR Plan Reinsurance Program Provides up to \$2.63bn of Limit* (Jan. 10, 2025), <https://www.theinsurer.com/ti/news/ca-fair-plan-reinsurance-program-provides-up-to-263bn-of-limit-2025-01-10>.

current wildfires, it would be the first assessment since 1994.⁶ To trigger an assessment, the FAIR Plan would first need to exhaust its retained earnings, then its proceeds from reinsurance, lines of credit, and catastrophe bonds, if any sold.⁷ If such funding sources are insufficient and the FAIR Plan is “substantially threatened with insolvency,” it shall then levy a pro-rata assessment on member insurers.⁸

The assessment amount is “based on the proportion that the insurer’s premiums written during the second preceding calendar year for that line of business bear to the aggregate premiums written by all insurers in the program for that line of business, excluding that portion of the premiums written attributable to the operation of the association,” bifurcated between Dwelling and Commercial Property Policies (Division I policies) and multiperil Businessowners’ policies (Division II policies) to reflect losses proportionate to that line of business.⁹ Assessments accrue interest (at the federal reserve discount rate plus 250 basis points) if unpaid within thirty days of notice, and an insurer that fails to pay may have its certificate of authority suspended or revoked unless granted an exemption or deferment by the Commissioner on the basis that payment would bring it below statutory minimum capital and surplus requirements.¹⁰ Where affiliated insurers are impacted, the insurance group may designate a carrier to pay the assessment on behalf of the group.¹¹

Recent guidance allows insurers to recoup the cost of assessments from policyholders under certain circumstances. Under Bulletin 2024-8, insurers may seek the Commissioner’s approval to recoup from policyholders up to 50% of amounts assessed where assessments are levied on member insurers in a single calendar year of up to:

- \$1 billion on member insurers writing residential property insurance policies with available limits of \$3 million or less;
- \$1 billion on member insurers that write commercial property insurance policies with available limits of \$20 million per Location or less; or
- \$2 billion on member insurers that write residential and commercial property policies with available limits of \$20 million per Location or less.¹²

⁶ See CDI Bulletin No. 2024-8 (Sept. 3, 2024) (“[Bulletin 2024-8](https://www.insurance.ca.gov/0250-insurers/0300-insurers/0200-bulletins/bulletin-notices-commiss-opinion/upload/BULLETIN_2024-8_RE_INSURER_RECOUPMENT_PROCEDURES_IN_THE_HIGHLY_UNLIKELY_EVENT_OF_ASSESSMENT_BY_THE_FAIR_PLAN.pdf)”), available at https://www.insurance.ca.gov/0250-insurers/0300-insurers/0200-bulletins/bulletin-notices-commiss-opinion/upload/BULLETIN_2024-8_RE_INSURER_RECOUPMENT_PROCEDURES_IN_THE_HIGHLY_UNLIKELY_EVENT_OF_ASSESSMENT_BY_THE_FAIR_PLAN.pdf.

⁷ Plan of Operation § VII.D.4. These procedures apply to Division I and Division II policies under the plan except for “High Value Commercial Property Policies,” which are commercial property policies with a limit over \$20 million per Location. The need for assessment with respect to High Value Commercial Policies is calculated separately based on income from those policies, which also may be subject to additional supplemental fees on issuance and in case of an assessment in accordance with the Plan of Operation.

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ *Id.* § VII.D.

¹⁰ See *id.* § VII.D.3.

¹¹ *Id.* § VII.D.8.

¹² Bulletin 2024-8 pp. 2–3.

For assessments in excess of these accounts, insurers may seek approval to recoup all such amounts from policyholders.¹³ Requests to recoup assessment amounts are subject in all instances to the filing and prior approval requirements of California's Proposition 103,¹⁴ which would likely delay the immediate impact on insurer policyholders of such recoupment.¹⁵ In the event of an assessment, this recoupment would be an unprecedented approach and it remains to be seen how CDI would address such requests through the approval process. For the overall health of the market, it would behoove the FAIR Plan, insurers and the Commissioner to work cooperatively on the timing of any assessments and the development of an expedited filing process for recoupment of any assessments.

II. Recent Developments in the California Property Market

A. Reinsurance Costs and Catastrophe Models in Developing Rates

Given the recent depletion of admitted capacity in the California property market, Commissioner Lara and CDI have taken steps to attract new business from admitted carriers in wildfire risk areas, while addressing concerns raised by industry with the existing ratemaking regime under Proposition 103. Following discussions with stakeholders throughout the course of 2024,¹⁶ CDI adopted new regulations permitting insurers to use catastrophe models and to account for costs of reinsurance in developing rates, subject to insurer commitments to increase coverage in wildfire-prone regions.

The catastrophe modeling regulation¹⁷ allows eligible insurers to use catastrophe models in ratemaking to determine catastrophe adjustments for wildfire risk, subject to certain parameters. This substitutes for the prior regime under which insurers were required to base the catastrophe factor on historical wildfire losses with such accounting for predicted future risk. The reinsurance costs regulation¹⁸ provides for CDI to develop a standardized "net cost of reinsurance" factor based on market data that may be used by property insurers in developing rates. In both instances, to access these new ratemaking tools insurers must comply with a new regulation that requires them to commit to periodic increases in their written policies in certain "distressed areas" of heightened wildfire risk or FAIR Plan exposure.¹⁹ Although these new regulations should work prospectively to slow or reverse the FAIR Plan's growing exposure, their recency means that the FAIR Plan remains exposed to substantial losses from current wildfires until admitted carriers reenter the market.

¹³ *Id.* at 3.

¹⁴ Cal. Ins. Code § 1861.01 *et seq.*

¹⁵ *See id.*

¹⁶ Described in our prior client alerts available [here](#), with respect to catastrophe modeling, and [here](#), with respect to reinsurance in ratemaking.

¹⁷ *Catastrophe Modeling and Regulation*, Reg.-2023-00010 (Nov. 13, 2024), available at https://legaldocs.insurance.ca.gov/CyberDOCS/autopapiact.asp?ApplNT=-1&mode=no&autopapiurl=%2FCyberDOCS%2FLibraries%2FDOCS_WEB%2FCommon%2Fviewdocact%2Easp%3Flib%3DDOCS_WEB%26doc%3D364123%26rendition%3Dhtml%26noframes%3Dyes&SCICO=false.

¹⁸ *Net Cost of Reinsurance and Ratemaking*, Reg.-2024-00016 (Dec. 27, 2024), available at https://legaldocs.insurance.ca.gov/CyberDOCS/autopapiact.asp?ApplNT=-1&mode=no&autopapiurl=%2FCyberDOCS%2FLibraries%2FDOCS_5FWEB%2FCommon%2Fviewdocact%2Easp%3Flib%3DDOCS%5FWEB%26doc%3D364346%26rendition%3Dhtml%26noframes%3Dyes&SCICO=false.

¹⁹ *See* 10 C.C.R. § 2644.25.2.

B. Moratorium on Cancellations and Nonrenewals

Substantial press coverage of the Los Angeles wildfires has focused on recent insurer nonrenewals in the affected areas (and consequential FAIR Plan exposures). Section 675.1 of the Code permits the Commissioner to impose a moratorium on cancellations and nonrenewals due to wildfire risk of policies in an area subject to a wildfire state of emergency. The Commissioner swiftly issued a moratorium for affected ZIP Codes in this instance, issuing Bulletin 2025-1 on January 9.²⁰ As fire events continue, we expect the Commissioner to continue using this power as a tool to limit depletion of the admitted market and FAIR Plan exposures pending the impacts of the new ratemaking regulations.

We will keep you apprised of further developments impacting the health of the insurance market following the recent fires. If you have any questions regarding this alert please contact the following attorneys or the Willkie attorney with whom you regularly work.

If you have any questions regarding this client alert, please contact the following attorneys or the Willkie attorney with whom you regularly work.

Kara Baysinger

415 858 7425
kbaysinger@willkie.com

Stephanie Duchene

310 855 3066
sduchene@willkie.com

Laura Leigh Geist

415 858 7440
lgeist@willkie.com

David G. Nadig

312 728 9097
dnadig@willkie.com

Brendan M. Smith

212 728 3645
bsmith@willkie.com

WILLKIE

BRUSSELS CHICAGO DALLAS FRANKFURT HOUSTON LONDON LOS ANGELES MILAN
MUNICH NEW YORK PALO ALTO PARIS ROME SAN FRANCISCO WASHINGTON

Copyright © 2025 Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP. All rights reserved.

This alert is provided for educational and informational purposes only and is not intended and should not be construed as legal advice, and it does not establish an attorney-client relationship in any form. This alert may be considered advertising under applicable state laws. Our website is: www.willkie.com.

²⁰ CDI Bulletin No. 2025-1 (January 9, 2025), *available at* <https://www.insurance.ca.gov/0250-insurers/0300-insurers/0200-bulletins/bulletin-notices-commiss-opinion/upload/Bulletin-2025-1-One-Year-Moratorium-for-Palisades-and-Eaton-Fires-9-January-2025.pdf>.